Attorney Docket No.: CING-136 PATENT

Appl. Ser. No.: 09/511,168

**REMARKS** 

Applicant submits that the present amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action

dated October 29, 2007 and, thus, the application is in condition for allowance.

By this reply, no claims are amended. Claims 1-21 remain pending. Of these, claim 1,

15, 18 and 19 are independent. An expedited review and allowance of the application is

respectfully requested.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Wilson (USPN 6,434,611). It is asserted that Wilson discloses a method

with all of the limitations of the present invention as recited in the claims. Applicant respectfully

traverses.

Wilson discloses a method for reconfiguring telecommunications networks (see abstract

and claims). In no way does Wilson disclose or fairly suggest a system or method of managing

network elements in a manner as recited in the pending claims. Thus, Wilson cannot anticipate

the pending claims and the rejection should be withdrawn.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 5-10 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson in view of Weinberg (USPN 6,144,962). It is asserted

that Wilson discloses a method and system with all of the limitations of the present invention as

recited in the claims, but for the step summarizing the representation of network elements by

representing the condition of network element with an icon. It is further alleged that Weinberg

discloses this deficiency and the combination of these cited references would have therefore been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Applicant respectfully traverses.

Neither Wilson, nor Weinberg, nor any other related art of record, alone or in

combination, disclose or fairly suggest the present invention as recited in the pending claims.

8

Attorney Docket No.: CING-136 PATENT

Appl. Ser. No.: 09/511,168

For example, none of the references teach or fairly disclose a method of managing network elements in a manner as recited in the pending claims. Thus, Wilson and Weinberg cannot obviate the pending claims and the rejection should be withdrawn. The Office Action has used improper hindsight reconstruction to attempt to re-create Applicant's own invention using selected parts of various references. When taken as a whole, however, the references could not be fairly combined to create the invention as recited in the pending claims.

In the outstanding Office Action, claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson in view of Henderson (USPN 5,726,979). It is asserted that Wilson discloses a method and system with all of the limitations of the present invention as recited in the claims, but for the step representing each network element in a geographical area. It is further alleged that Henderson discloses this deficiency and the combination of these cited references would have therefore been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Applicant respectfully traverses.

Neither Wilson, nor Henderson, nor any other related art of record, alone or in combination, disclose or fairly suggest the present invention as recited in the pending claims. For example, none of the references teach or fairly disclose a method of managing network elements in a manner as recited in the pending claims. Thus, Wilson and Henderson cannot obviate the pending claims and the rejection should be withdrawn. The Office Action has used improper hindsight reconstruction to attempt to re-create Applicant's own invention using selected parts of various references. When taken as a whole, however, the references could not be fairly combined to create the invention as recited in the pending claims.

Attorney Docket No.: CING-136 PATENT

Appl. Ser. No.: 09/511,168

A ONE (1) month extension of time is hereby requested to enter this amendment. If any

other fees are associated with the entering and consideration of this amendment, please charge

such fees to our Deposit Account 50-2882.

Applicant respectfully requests an interview with the Examiner to present more evidence

of the unique attributes of the present invention in person. As all of the outstanding rejections

have been traversed and all of the claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, Applicant

respectfully requests issuance of a Notice of Allowance. If the undersigned attorney can assist in

any matters regarding examination of this application, Examiner is encouraged to call at the

number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 29 February 2008

/Fariborz Moazzam, Reg. No. 53,339/

Fariborz Moazzam Reg. No. 53,339

Cust. No. 39,013

MOAZZAM & ASSOCIATES, LLC

7601 Lewinsville Road, Suite 304 McLean, VA 22102

(703) 442-9480

(703) 991-5978 (fax)

10